Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/531,716	SCHULLER, PETER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	REX HOLMES	3762
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>REX HOLMES</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Verga.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 January 2010	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Trojection(3) discussed.		
Claims discussed:		
Claims 1-5, 8-14, 24, 26 and 29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/531,716

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amend the independent claims to delete substantially lesticitically insulative to electrically insulative to be inline with the specification. Amend the independent claims to emphasize that each of the conductors are wount in a clockwise direction for a distance and then in an anticlockwise direction for a distance. Amend the dependent claims to make them inline with the new amendments to the independent claims. Delete claims 10-11 as they are directed toward a non-elected embodiment.